	file		
	) IC 75-1387		
		±.	
MEMORANDUM FOR:	NIO/USER/EE	ST	
SU <b>BJ</b> ECT:	ROM1		
REFERENCE:	Your memo dated 3 March 1975, to E/DCI/IC, subject: same		
Dear John.			
Your point is well best be overcome by cl in the production of the the position taken in th	taken. The problem you identify can probably loser consultation between PRD and the NIOs RONI. This would be wholly consistent with a USIF discussion of the RONI on 28 February lement of the community in closest touch with an an adsortunity to review the sections on the		
Your point is well best be overcome by cl in the production of the the position taken in th that the NIOs, as the e	RONI. This would be wholly consistent with e USIF discussion of the RONI on 28 February lement of the community in closest touch with re an epportunity to review the sections on the al arent. We propose to proceed on this basis		
Your point is well best be overcome by cl in the production of the the position taken in th that the NIOs, as the e consumers, should hav geographical and topic	RONI. This would be wholly consistent with e USIF discussion of the RONI on 28 February lement of the community in closest touch with re an epportunity to review the sections on the al arent. We propose to proceed on this basis		
Your point is well best be overcome by cl in the production of the the position taken in th that the NIOs, as the e consumers, should hav geographical and topic	RONI. This would be wholly consistent with e USIF discussion of the RONI on 28 February lement of the community in closest touch with re an epportunity to review the sections on the al arent. We propose to proceed on this basis	ST	
Your point is well best be overcome by cl in the production of the the position taken in th that the NIOs, as the e consumers, should hav geographical and topic	RONI. This would be wholly consistent with a USIF discussion of the RONI on 28 February lement of the community in closest touch with the an exportunity to review the sections on the al arent. We propose to proceed on this basis RONI.  A/D/DCI/IC	ST	
Your point is well best be overcome by clin the production of the the position taken in the that the NIOs, as the econsumers, should have geographical and topic on future issues of the Distribution:  Original - NIO/USSR/II - IC Registry 1 - AD/DCI/IC	RONI. This would be wholly consistent with a USIF discussion of the RONI on 28 February lement of the community in closest touch with the an exportunity to review the sections on the al arent. We propose to proceed on this basis RONI.  A/D/DCI/IC	ST	
Your point is well best be overcome by clin the production of the the position taken in the that the NIOs, as the econsumers, should have geographical and topic on future issues of the Distribution:  Original - NIO/USSR/II - IC Registry  1 - AD/DCI/IC  1 - PRD Subject  1 - PRD Chrono	RONI. This would be wholly consistent with a USIF discussion of the RONI on 28 February lement of the community in closest touch with the an exportunity to review the sections on the al arent. We propose to proceed on this basis RONI.  A/D/DCI/IC	STZ	
Your point is well best be overcome by clin the production of the the position taken in the that the NIOs, as the econsumers, should have geographical and topic on future issues of the Distribution:  Original - NIO/USSR/II  1 - IC Registry 1 - AD/DCI/IC 1 - PRD Subject	RONI. This would be wholly consistent with a USIF discussion of the RONI on 28 February lement of the community in closest touch with the an exportunity to review the sections on the al arent. We propose to proceed on this basis RONI.  A/D/DCI/IC	STA	

Approved For Release 2006/11/15 : CIA-RDP83M00171R000300270026-8

STAT

A/D/DCI/IC

I agree with USIB that the NIOs should have a larger (consultative) role in the production of the RONI. Such consultation, among other things, will evercome the kind of problem has raised. But—the work of the PDs, as major elements of the production community, are is a proper subjects for review in the RONI and will sooner or later inevitably be critiqued in the RONI, at least by inference. PRD will have to ensure that appropriate consultation with the NIOs does not inhibit its primary function of reviewing national intelligence.

STAT

STAT

over

Acting C/PRO

3/5/75

I think we can walk this tightrope, but we should all be aware it exists.

l - IC Registry

PRD Subject

JHL,

1 - PRD Chrono

1 - JHL Chrono

Approved For Release 2006/11/15: CIA-RDP83M00171R000300270026-8 SENDER WILL CHECK CLASSIFICATION TOP AND BOTTOM CONFIDENTIAL SECRET UNCLASSIFIED OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP INITIALS то NAME AND ADDRESS DATE 1 A/D/DCI/IC 2 3 4 5 STAT 6 PREPARE REPLY ACTION DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION **APPROVAL FILE** COMMENT RETURN INFORMATION CONCURRENCE SIGNATURE Remarks: I agree with USIB that the NIOs should have a larger (consultative) role in the production of the RONI. Such consultation, among other things, will overcome the kind of problem STAT has raised. But with e work of the NIOs, as major elements of the production community, \*\*\* 🖪 proper subject for review in the RONI and will sooner or later inevitably be critiqued in the RONI, at least by inference. PRD will have to ensure that appropriate consultation with the NIOs does not inhibit its primary function of reviewing national intelligence. oull FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER over FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. DATE STAT Acting C/PRD 3/5/75 CONFIDENTIAL SECRET

(40)

FORM NO. 237 Use previous editions

Approved For Release 2006/11/15 : CIA-RDP83M00171R000300270026-8

	I think we can walk this tightrope, should all be aware it exists.		
0110 0210 021			
√ ·		•	

STAT

1110 # 482-25

Approved For Release 2006/11/15: CIA-RDP83M00171R000300270026-8

3 March 1975

MEMORANDUM FOR: Lieutenant General Samuel Wilson

D/DCI/IC Staff

Dear Sam,

STAT

Last month your production review staff was making a quite valid point that the publications were not adequately analyzing the implications of

I responded that such an analysis was appearing on that very day in the PDB and that I thought we had done our duty in this way.

STAT

The appearance of the first RONI suggests to me a small and persistent problem. There are going to be quite a few occasions when, for a variety of reasons, important intelligence products do not come within the formal national purview, do not reach all USIB members, and sometimes do not even reach the IC Staff. This may lead your reviewers to identify blanks in the record from the production standpoint that are actually not blanks at all from the more important consumer standpoint.

One can define this problem away by saying that the IC Staff is reviewing National Intelligence, and then defining that in bureaucratic terms. If one did this, however, an important chunk of reality would still be missing. What to do?

STAT

STAT

Authorizat Incelligence Officer
for USSR/EE

STAT

S-E-C-R-E-T

